## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

| School Name | County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gibson Elementary <br> School | 57727100000000 |

Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date

May 6, 2019

Local Board Approval Date

June 13, 2019

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School Wide Plan meets the ESSA requirements through:
A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that includes information on the academic achievement of students in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards. The school wide plan was developed to support the needs of the students in the school as identified through the comprehensive needs assessment. These include:

- strategies that the school is implementing to address the school needs by providing opportunities for all students to meet the challenging state academic standards
- the use of methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum
- programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well rounded education, and strategies that address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of those students at risk of not meeting the challenging academic standards.

The school wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:
a school and family engagement policy
a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

A comprehensive needs assessment process was conducted at multiple levels. Initially, the Gibson principal, EL Project Specialist, and RTI Specialist attended a needs assessment with district personnel on January 31, 2019. During this time, staff engaged in looking at Gibson Dashboard data and conducted a needs analysis with recommended change ideas. This same process was emulated at the site with certificated staff during a staff meeting on Wednesday, February 6. Staff engaged in looking at Gibson Dashboard data and worked in grade level teams to determine needs and recommend change ideas. Gibson's EL Project Specialist worked with ELAC over multiple meeting dates (February 12, 2019 and March 12, 2019) to engage parents in a needs assessment. Change ideas were communicated to administration. Gibson's site principal worked with School Site Council on February 26, 2019 to engage parents in a needs assessment. Change ideas were communicated to administration. Through the needs assessment process, it became clear that there needed to be a focus on the suspension rates of students with disabilities. Change ideas around this topic were recommended to administration by multiple stakeholder groups (teachers, ELAC, SSC).

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
N/A

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| American Indian | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.50\% | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| African American | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.84\% | 11 | 5 | 11 |
| Asian | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 3.85\% | 20 | 20 | 23 |
| Filipino | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.17\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 57.2\% | 62.9\% | 62.31\% | 340 | 370 | 372 |
| Pacific Islander | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |
| White | 33.8\% | 29.4\% | 27.81\% | 201 | 173 | 166 |
| Multiple/No Response | 2.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.17\% | 14 | 5 | 7 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 594 | 588 | 597 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Kindergarten | 97 | 109 | 101 |
| Grade 1 | 83 | 61 | 79 |
| Grade 2 | 76 | 83 | 71 |
| Grade3 | 71 | 73 | 85 |
| Grade 4 | 91 | 82 | 79 |
| Grade 5 | 83 | 95 | 84 |
| Grade 6 | 93 | 85 | 98 |
| Total Enrollment | 594 | 588 | 597 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. While student enrollment is somewhat stable, there has been a noticeable decline in the white student population and a steady increase in the Hispanic/Latino student population.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| English Learners | 145 | 153 | 151 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3} \%$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 34 | 30 | 42 | $5.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 13 | 12 | 18 | $9.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Percentage of English Learners remained consistent.
2. Percentage of Fluent English Proficient students increased.
3. Percentage of Reclassified Fluent English Proficient students increased.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students withScores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 74 | 73 | 80 | 74 | 70 | 78 | 74 | 70 | 78 | 100 | 95.9 | 97.5 |
| Grade 4 | 92 | 78 | 75 | 85 | 75 | 71 | 85 | 75 | 71 | 92.4 | 96.2 | 94.7 |
| Grade 5 | 84 | 86 | 81 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 97.6 | 94.2 | 98.8 |
| Grade 6 | 96 | 84 | 95 | 93 | 81 | 93 | 93 | 81 | 93 | 96.9 | 96.4 | 97.9 |
| All Grades | 346 | 321 | 331 | 334 | 307 | 322 | 334 | 307 | 322 | 96.5 | 95.6 | 97.3 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% StandardMet |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2378. | 2358. | 2400. | 14 | 7.14 | 12.82 | 12 | 12.86 | 20.51 | 26 | 21.43 | 34.62 | 49 | 58.57 | 32.05 |
| Grade 4 | 2419. | 2432. | 2399. | 11 | 16.00 | 7.04 | 16 | 13.33 | 15.49 | 20 | 26.67 | 22.54 | 53 | 44.00 | 54.93 |
| Grade 5 | 2459. | 2435. | 2475. | 7 | 7.41 | 12.50 | 32 | 12.35 | 26.25 | 22 | 25.93 | 25.00 | 39 | 54.32 | 36.25 |
| Grade 6 | 2505. | 2500. | 2462. | 10 | 4.94 | 5.38 | 27 | 41.98 | 19.35 | 32 | 20.99 | 25.81 | 31 | 32.10 | 49.46 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10 | 8.79 | 9.32 | 22 | 20.52 | 20.50 | 25 | 23.78 | 27.02 | 43 | 46.91 | 43.17 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 15 | 7.14 | 15.38 | 34 | 28.57 | 50.00 | 51 | 64.29 | 34.62 |
| Grade 4 | 9 | 14.67 | 14.08 | 44 | 44.00 | 45.07 | 47 | 41.33 | 40.85 |
| Grade 5 | 12 | 7.41 | 16.25 | 39 | 44.44 | 52.50 | 49 | 48.15 | 31.25 |
| Grade 6 | 12 | 7.41 | 7.53 | 45 | 59.26 | 38.71 | 43 | 33.33 | 53.76 |
| All Grades | 12 | 9.12 | 13.04 | 41 | 44.63 | 46.27 | 47 | 46.25 | 40.68 |

Writing
Producing clear and purposeful writing

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 12 | 8.57 | 12.82 | 36 | 30.00 | 42.31 | 51 | 61.43 | 44.87 |
| Grade 4 | 11 | 13.33 | 4.23 | 45 | 45.33 | 38.03 | 45 | 41.33 | 57.75 |
| Grade 5 | 12 | 11.11 | 13.75 | 48 | 34.57 | 55.00 | 40 | 54.32 | 31.25 |
| Grade 6 | 14 | 14.81 | 12.90 | 44 | 49.38 | 26.88 | 42 | 35.80 | 60.22 |
| All Grades | 12 | 12.05 | 11.18 | 43 | 40.07 | 40.06 | 44 | 47.88 | 48.76 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 8 | 4.29 | 15.38 | 64 | 65.71 | 65.38 | 28 | 30.00 | 19.23 |  |
| Grade 4 | 9 | 9.33 | 8.45 | 75 | 52.00 | 64.79 | 15 | 38.67 | 26.76 |  |
| Grade 5 | 9 | 9.88 | 8.75 | 60 | 55.56 | 68.75 | 32 | 34.57 | 22.50 |  |
| Grade 6 | 13 | 3.70 | 6.45 | 77 | 72.84 | 62.37 | 10 | 23.46 | 31.18 |  |
| All Grades | 10 | 6.84 | 9.63 | 69 | 61.56 | 65.22 | 21 | 31.60 | 25.16 |  |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 12 | 10.00 | 16.67 | 45 | 37.14 | 51.28 | 43 | 52.86 | 32.05 |
| Grade 4 | 13 | 13.33 | 5.63 | 42 | 60.00 | 40.85 | 45 | 26.67 | 53.52 |
| Grade 5 | 23 | 9.88 | 16.25 | 48 | 43.21 | 58.75 | 29 | 46.91 | 25.00 |
| Grade 6 | 23 | 20.99 | 16.13 | 66 | 49.38 | 41.94 | 12 | 29.63 | 41.94 |
| All Grades | 18 | 13.68 | 13.98 | 51 | 47.56 | 48.14 | 31 | 38.76 | 37.89 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There has been steady growth in students' reading achievement
2. Percentage of all students not meeting standard decreased. The biggest deficit appears to be in writing - with $48.76 \%$ students not meeting standard.
3. It is evident that additional professional development and collaboration to support writing is needed.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 74 | 73 | 80 | 74 | 69 | 78 | 74 | 69 | 78 | 100 | 94.5 | 97.5 |
| Grade 4 | 92 | 78 | 75 | 87 | 77 | 72 | 87 | 77 | 72 | 94.6 | 98.7 | 96 |
| Grade 5 | 84 | 86 | 81 | 82 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 79 | 80 | 97.6 | 91.9 | 98.8 |
| Grade 6 | 96 | 84 | 95 | 94 | 81 | 95 | 94 | 81 | 95 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 100 |
| All Grades | 346 | 321 | 331 | 337 | 306 | 325 | 337 | 306 | 325 | 97.4 | 95.3 | 98.2 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2391. | 2375. | 2387. | 9 | 8.70 | 6.41 | 22 | 10.14 | 17.95 | 20 | 20.29 | 29.49 | 49 | 60.87 | 46.15 |
| Grade 4 | 2415. | 2439. | 2411. | 5 | 12.99 | 5.56 | 8 | 19.48 | 9.72 | 33 | 27.27 | 30.56 | 54 | 40.26 | 54.17 |
| Grade 5 | 2445. | 2424. | 2462. | 5 | 5.06 | 8.75 | 11 | 6.33 | 13.75 | 33 | 16.46 | 31.25 | 51 | 72.15 | 46.25 |
| Grade 6 | 2478. | 2466. | 2443. | 6 | 4.94 | 4.21 | 11 | 8.64 | 12.63 | 35 | 37.04 | 23.16 | 48 | 49.38 | 60.00 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | 7.84 | 6.15 | 12 | 11.11 | 13.54 | 31 | 25.49 | 28.31 | 50 | 55.56 | 52.00 |


| Concepts \& Procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 14 | 10.14 | 10.26 | 32 | 24.64 | 33.33 | 54 | 65.22 | 56.41 |
| Grade 4 | 5 | 23.38 | 8.33 | 23 | 25.97 | 20.83 | 72 | 50.65 | 70.83 |
| Grade 5 | 11 | 7.59 | 11.25 | 21 | 12.66 | 25.00 | 68 | 79.75 | 63.75 |
| Grade 6 | 12 | 6.17 | 8.42 | 30 | 33.33 | 23.16 | 59 | 60.49 | 68.42 |
| All Grades | 10 | 11.76 | 9.54 | 26 | 24.18 | 25.54 | 64 | 64.05 | 64.92 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 8 | 13.04 | 8.97 | 41 | 31.88 | 44.87 | 51 | 55.07 | 46.15 |
| Grade 4 | 3 | 11.69 | 6.94 | 47 | 40.26 | 33.33 | 49 | 48.05 | 59.72 |
| Grade 5 | 5 | 7.59 | 8.75 | 30 | 22.78 | 43.75 | 65 | 69.62 | 47.50 |
| Grade 6 | 7 | 6.17 | 5.26 | 37 | 34.57 | 32.63 | 55 | 59.26 | 62.11 |
| All Grades | 6 | 9.48 | 7.38 | 39 | 32.35 | 38.46 | 55 | 58.17 | 54.15 |


| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 14 | 8.70 | 12.82 | 53 | 39.13 | 53.85 | 34 | 52.17 | 33.33 |
| Grade 4 | 3 | 15.58 | 8.33 | 36 | 42.86 | 27.78 | 61 | 41.56 | 63.89 |
| Grade 5 | 5 | 3.80 | 6.25 | 45 | 26.58 | 48.75 | 50 | 69.62 | 45.00 |
| Grade 6 | 9 | 3.70 | 5.26 | 50 | 54.32 | 37.89 | 41 | 41.98 | 56.84 |
| All Grades | 7 | 7.84 | 8.00 | 46 | 40.85 | 42.15 | 47 | 51.31 | 49.85 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Percentage of all students not meeting standards decreased. The biggest deficit appears to be in concepts and procedures with $64.92 \%$ of students not meeting standards.
2. It is evident that additional collaboration and analysis of student work to support concepts and procedures is needed.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Overall | Oral Language | Written Language | Number of <br> Students Tested |
| Grade K | 1363.6 | 1376.8 | 1332.7 | 25 |
| Grade 1 | 1441.0 | 1447.6 | 1433.8 | 22 |
| Grade 2 | 1472.1 | 1469.9 | 1473.9 | 22 |
| Grade 3 | 1484.7 | 1485.5 | 1483.5 | 21 |
| Grade 4 | 1506.9 | 1511.1 | 1502.2 | 18 |
| Grade 5 | 1479.3 | 1469.7 | 1488.4 | 11 |
| Grade 6 | 1476.5 | 1468.8 | 1483.5 | 15 |
| All Grades |  |  |  | 134 |


| Overall Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| Level | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * |  |  | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| All Grades | 34 | 25.37 | 41 | 30.60 | 33 | 24.63 | 26 | 19.40 | 134 |

Oral Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | \# | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| Grade 1 | 12 | 54.55 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 25 |
| Grade 2 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  | $*$ | $*$ | 22 |
| Grade 3 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 21 |
| Grade 4 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  | $*$ | $*$ | 18 |
| Grade 5 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 |
| Grade 6 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 15 |
| All Grades | 54 | 40.30 | 41 | 30.60 | 15 | 11.19 | 24 | 17.91 | 134 |


| Written Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| Level | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | 16 | 64.00 | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| All Grades | 18 | 13.43 | 30 | 22.39 | 41 | 30.60 | 45 | 33.58 | 134 |


| Listening Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 11 | 44.00 | * | * | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 1 | 14 | 63.64 | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 2 | 14 | 63.64 | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | 11 | 52.38 | * | * | 21 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 11 | 61.11 | * | * | 18 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| All Grades | 57 | 42.54 | 52 | 38.81 | 25 | 18.66 | 134 |

## Speaking Domain

| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |  |
| Grade K | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 25 |  |
| Grade 1 | 11 | 50.00 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 22 |  |
| Grade 2 | 12 | 54.55 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 22 |  |
| Grade 3 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 21 |  |
| Grade 4 | 14 | 77.78 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 18 |  |
| Grade 5 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 |  |
| Grade 6 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 15 |  |
| All Grades | 65 | 48.51 | 42 | 31.34 | 27 | 20.15 | 134 |  |


| Reading Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | * | * | 18 | 72.00 | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | 11 | 52.38 | * | * | 21 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 11 | 61.11 | * | * | 18 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | * | * | 12 | 80.00 | 15 |
| All Grades | 19 | 14.18 | 64 | 47.76 | 51 | 38.06 | 134 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| Grade K | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | 44.00 | $*$ | $*$ | 25 |
| Grade 1 | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | 50.00 | $*$ | $*$ | 22 |
| Grade 2 | $*$ | $*$ | 13 | 59.09 | $*$ | $*$ | 22 |
| Grade 3 | $*$ | $*$ | 16 | 76.19 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Grade 4 | $*$ | $*$ | 14 | 77.78 | $*$ | $*$ | 21 |
| Grade 5 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 18 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 12 | 80.00 | $*$ | $*$ | 11 |
| All Grades | 19 | 14.18 | 82 | 61.19 | 33 | 24.63 | 15 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Most English Learners are scoring in Performance Level 3.
2. Listening and Speaking appears to be a strength for our English Learners at Gibson. Reading and Writing appears to be an area of growth for our English Learners at Gibson.
3. It is evident that there needs to be more training for teachers around the ELA/ELD framework and the importance of both integrated and designated ELD instruction.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2017-18 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Enrollment | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged |  |  |
| 597 | $64.7 \%$ | English <br> Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| $25.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2017-18 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 151 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Foster Youth | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Homeless | 32 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 386 | $64.7 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 98 | $16.4 \%$ |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 11 | $1.8 \%$ |
| American Indian | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Asian | 23 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Filipino | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 372 | $62.3 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 14 | $2.3 \%$ |
| White | 166 | $27.8 \%$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. A large percentage of our students are socioeconomically disadvantaged.
2. A large percentage of our students are Hispanic.
3. It is evident that there needs to be professional development and training around best first instruction for vulnerable populations of students. There also needs to be professional development in Trauma Informed Education.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2018 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students


| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Orange |

English Learner Progress


No Performance Color

Conclusions based on this data:

1. It is evident that more work around ELA and Math needs to be a focus. This will include additional PD and collaboration for teachers.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
120.3 points below standard

Maintained -1.7 points

44 students



No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

10 students


No Performance Color
0 Students


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1 students

| White |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 28.8 points below standard |
| Declined -4.8 points |
| 85 students |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126.6 points below standard | 3.6 points above standard | 41.8 points below standard |
| Increased 6.9 points | Increased 5.6 points | Declined -4.3 points |
| 52 students | 45 students | 201 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. It is evident that our site needs to investigate and focus on the needs of our students with disabilities and their ELA performance.
2. Our site needs to investigate how instruction is being differentiated within the classroom. More professional development and collaboration around best first instruction needs to be consistent across grade levels.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 143.7 points below standard |
| Increased 9.3 points |
| 44 students |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124.5 points below standard | 33.8 points below standard | 71.1 points below standard |
| Increased <br> 150 mointe 52 students | Maintained -0.3 points <br> 45 students | Declined -9.9 points <br> 201 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. It is evident that our site needs to investigate and focus on the needs of our students with disabilities and their math performance.
2. Our site needs to investigate how instruction is being differentiated within the classroom. More professional development and collaboration around best first instruction needs to be consistent across grade levels.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percent of students performing at each level on the new English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) assessment. With the transition ELPAC, the 2018 Dashboard is unable to report a performance level (color) for this measure.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Proficiency Assessments for California Results

| Number of Students | Level 4 Well Developed | Level 3 Moderately Developed | Level 2 Somewhat Developed | Level 1 Beginning Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134 | 25.4\% | 30.6\% | 24.6\% | 19.4\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. It is evident that our site needs more Professional Development around the ELA/ELD framework and integrated/designated ELD instruction.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

College/Career
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group



This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2016 | Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared | Prepared | Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared | Not Prepared | Not Prepared |

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group


| Homeless |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| $29.4 \%$ chronically absent |
| Declined $9.8 \%$ |
| 34 students |


| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| $17.8 \%$ chronically absent |
| Maintained $0 \%$ |
| 428 students |


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| $17.8 \%$ chronically absent |
| Increased $2.4 \%$ |
| 107 students |

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |
| 41.7\% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4 students | 8.3\% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1 students |
| 12 students |  | Increased 0.6\% |  |
|  |  | 24 students |  |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\prod_{\text {Yellow }}^{\uparrow}$ | No Performance Color |  | $\underset{\text { Orange }}{K}$ |
| 16.3\% chronically absent | 4.5\% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data | 16.8\% chronically absent |
| Declined 0.8\% | Declined 1.3\% | Not Displayed for Privacy <br> 0 students | Maintained 0\% |
| 398 students | 22 students |  | 173 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. In order to decrease chronic absenteeism our site needs to focus on engaging and rigorous first instruction in the classroom.
2. We need to increase student connectedness at the school site.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners |  | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | Students with Disabilities |
| 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

2017

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

Increased 2.5\%
40 students


Students with Disabilities


Red
8.9\% suspended at least once

Maintained - $0.2 \%$
112 students

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color <br> 8.3\% suspended at least once <br> 12 students | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students - Data 5 students | No Performance Color 0\% suspended at least once <br> Declined -3.7\% 26 students | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students - Data 1 students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Orange | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | $\frac{6}{R e d}$ |
| $5.2 \%$ suspended at least once | $4.3 \%$ suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data 1 students | 8.1\% suspended at least once |
| Maintained -0.1\% 440 students | Declined -0.9\% 23 students |  | Increased 4.6\% <br> 186 students |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8.5 \%$ suspended at least once | $4.6 \%$ suspended at least once | 5.8\% suspended at least once |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The data illustrates that we need to focus on our suspension rate for students with disabilities; behavior plans need to be in place and implemented consistently.
2. PBIS Tier One teaching around behavior expectations needs to occur in all grade levels throughout the year.
3. Our site needs to improve student connectedness to school by hosting more activities for students within the school day and after school.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Goal 1

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Identified Need

After a thorough analysis of our schools' Dashboard data during the needs assessment process, and our comprehensive needs assessment with school stakeholders, the school identified a need to improve ELA and Math performance overall (with a specific focus on English Learners and students with disabilities). A lack of research based instructional strategies, student attendance, and a lack of staff collaboration time were identified as root causes for the gaps in student achievement.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Show growth on the English |
| Language Arts and Math |
| Academic Indicator. |
|  |
| Percentage of students who |
| reach growth targets on iReady |
| (elementary schools) and |
| NWEA (secondary schools) in |
| Reading and Math. |

Percentage of students meeting typical growth targets on iReady:

Reading - 44\%
Math - 25\%
Percentage of students with improved placement (movement up at least one placement level):

Reading - 44\%

## Expected Outcome

In ELA, reduce the distance from standard by increasing the average score on the academic indicator by 10 points. In math, reduce the distance from standard by increasing the average score on the academic indicator by 10 points.

The Dashboard will be yellow in both ELA and Math.

Increase the percentage of students meeting typical grow targets on iReady:

Reading - 49\%
Math - 30\%
Increase the percentage of students with improved placement:

Reading - 50\%
Math - 46\%

Math - 41\%

Percentage of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) that analyze student work to implement best practices.

Show Growth on the BPST, through Running Records, and through Fountas and Pinnell progress monitoring.

Percentage of students who reach annual stretch growth targets on iReady Reading and Math.

Currently, Gibson does not have a structure or protocols in place for Professional Learning Communities.

Grade Levels self -report content of meetings. The site has recently began working with WestEd to establish a structure and protocols around analysis of student work to implement best instruction.

Currently, Gibson does not have consistent Guided Reading implementation across grades K-6.
There is inconsistency in the definition/use of running records.
Teachers progress monitor 3 times per year and note BPST progress.

Currently Gibson does not have consistent differentiated small group instruction in grades 4-6.

Students meeting annual stretch growth targets in Reading and Math:

Develop a standard process around Professional Learning Community structures and protocols.

Grade levels will become familiar with using the WestEd protocols to look at student work and implement best instruction.

Grade levels will submit agendas and notes showing what protocols are being utilized, what content area is being addressed, student outcomes, and teacher outcomes.

Develop standard Guided Reading implementation Grades K-3.

Develop common understanding and implementation of Running Records and progress monitoring using Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment three times per year.

Develop common understanding and implementation of small group instruction to meet the diverse needs of learners in grades 46.

Increase the percentage of students meeting annual stretch grow targets in Reading and Math:

| Reading |  |  |  | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd grade | $81 \%$ | $63 \%$ |  | Reading | Math |
| 3rd grade | $62 \%$ | $40 \%$ | 2nd grade | $90 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| 4th grade | $58 \%$ | $42 \%$ | 3rd grade | $70 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 5th grade | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | 4th grade | $70 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 6th grade | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ | 5th grade | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 6th grade | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Students who are English Learners
Students with Disabilities

## Strategy/Activity

Provide professional learning and collaboration opportunities to support best first instruction through differentiation across content areas, utilizing assessment and supplemental instruction.

## Academic Conferences

Sub/Release time for data analysis, professional learning opportunities, collaboration
Common Planning time to support PLCs
Materials and supplies to support differentiated instruction
Materials and supplies to support teacher professional development
Before/After School Intervention
Materials and supplies that support instruction
Materials and supplies for before/after school intervention
Leveled library titles
Instructional technology

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
26988

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Goal 2

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Identified Need

Gibson Elementary needs to provide exposure to college/career and VAPA opportunities.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Increase opportunities for all |
| students to have meaningful |
| participation in the Visual and |
| Performing Arts. |
|  |
| Increase opportunities for |
| hands-on experiences with |
| Science and Social Studies. |
|  |


| Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- |
| Currently, Gibson students <br> have limited access to visual <br> and performing arts <br> opportunities. | Develop communication plans <br> with representatives at middle <br> school and high school to <br> explore opportunities for <br> presentations, assemblies, <br> plays, and performances at <br> Gibson |
| Currently, Gibson students <br> have limited access to hands- <br> on experiences with Science <br> and Social Studies. | Increase hands-on <br> opportunities three times per <br> year for Science. |
|  | Increase experiential <br> opportunities two times per <br> year for Social Studies. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students
Students who are English Learners
Students with Disabilities

## Strategy/Activity

Gibson will provide additional opportunities for students to explore VAPA, STEAM, and careers
Assemblies and Performances
Art opportunities after school (Yolo Arts Ceramics Program)
Begin the planning process for a site science fair.
California Weekly Explorer- Walk Through History
Supplemental Science Materials, Supplies, and Resources

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10000
500

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Goal 3

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Identified Need

After a thorough analysis of our schools Dashboard data during the needs assessment process, and our comprehensive needs assessment with school stakeholders, the school identified a need to improve MTSS processes and procedures at Gibson Elementary. A lack of understanding regarding Tier One instruction and Tier One interventions for both academics and behavior, along with a lack of school connectedness, were identified as a potential root causes for increased suspensions, increased referrals for behaviors and increased referrals for special education assessments.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decrease the number of students who are chronically absent. | According to the CA Dashboard, in the 17/18 school year, 103 students were considered chronically absent. This equates to $16.2 \%$ | We will decrease the number of students who are chronically absent to $13 \%$ on the CA Dashboard. |
| Increase student sense of safety and school connectedness. | Currently, there is no baseline data to measure the sense of safety and school connectedness. | Using the California Healthy Kids Survey data, staff will determine a baseline which illustrates students sense of safety and school connectedness. A goal will be set around increasing the amount of students reporting that they feel safe and connected to the school. |
| Ensure access to extended learning opportunities. | Students have limited access to before/after school intervention sessions. There has only been one 6 week session offered to students in grades 4-6. | Increase the number of intervention sessions to 2 with in the 19/20 school year. <br> Increase the number of grade levels who have access to the intervention sessions to include grades 1-3. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students
Students who are English Learners
Students with Disabilities

## Strategy/Activity

Continue to implement and refine Tier 1 MTSS process through systems that improve student access to core curriculum.

Sub/Release time for data analysis, professional learning opportunities, collaboration
Common Planning time to support the MTSS process
Restorative Practices training
Continued PBIS implementation
Conflict manager support and training
Counseling curriculum (SEL)
Monthly attendance campaigns
Increased library titles that reflect student demographic
Student Council Activities
After School Activities/Intervention
Incentives and Assemblies
Additional after school supervision
Teacher in Charge
Additional supervision for Alliance Redwoods in an effort to increase student safety and build teacher/student relationships (school connectedness).

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10000
10000

2200

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Goal 4

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Identified Need

After a thorough analysis of our schools' Dashboard data during the needs assessment process, and our comprehensive needs assessment with school stakeholders, the school identified a need to improve academic outcomes for students who are English learners at Gibson. A lack of understanding of the purpose and implementation of the ELA/ELD framework and designated/integrated ELD were identified as the root causes of the need.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Increase the Reclassification |
| rate for English Learners. |
| Show growth on the English |
| Learner Progress Indicator (CA |
| School Dashboard). |
| Decrease the number of Long |
| Term English Learners (middle |
| and high school only). |
| Increase the number of State |
| Seals of Biliteracy awarded to |
| students (high school only). |

## Baseline/Actual Outcome

For the 2017-2018 school year, our reclassification rate was 11.8\%.

Currently, there is not information on the CA Dashboard that reports growth on the English Learner Progress Indicator.

## Expected Outcome

Increase the reclassification rate to $15 \%$.

Once the English Learner Progress data becomes available, a baseline and goal for growth will be set.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> Students who are English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Provide professional learning and collaboration opportunities to support best first instruction through differentiation across content areas, utilizing assessment and supplemental instruction with a focus on the needs of English Learners.

EL Specialist and classroom teacher data analysis, progress monitoring, and collaboration around integrated and designated ELD instruction.
ELPAC assessment
EL Specialist meetings
Professional Development opportunities for staff (ELA/ELD framework)
Before/After school intervention specific to the needs of English Learners
Supplemental materials and resources to support intervention and supplemental instruction Home-school communication and outreach for parents and students
CABE conference attendance (registration, travel and lodging) to build family and community awareness of the needs of English Learners
Parent liaison to assist parents of English Learners in navigating the educational process and environment.
Interpreters and written translations to support parents of English Learners.
Reclassification ceremony to celebrate English Learner progress and achievement.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
20000
5000

500

## Source(s)

Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

Site Discretionary

# Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures 

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Goal 5

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Identified Need

After our comprehensive needs assessment with school stakeholders, the school identified a need to improve stakeholder involvement. A lack of family/school community was identified as a potential root cause for increased suspensions, increased referrals for behaviors, and increased referrals for special education assessments.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Increase participation rate of |
| parents at |
| SSC/ELAC/PTA/Boosters to |
| represent diversity of student |
| demographics. | | Increase parent/family |
| :--- |
| satisfaction to "high" on |
| Healthy Kids Survey, on key |
| indicators |
| Increase use of technology |
| tools and applications by site |
| staff to communicate with |
| parents about student |
| progress. |
| Increase the number of after |
| school parent involvement |
| opportunities |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
A baseline for determining if the attendance at SSC, ELAC, and PTA meetings is representative of Gibson's student demographics will be established this year.

A baseline for determining this will be established this year.

Currently, the percentage of Gibson families accessing the Aeries portal is $19.64 \%$.

Currently, Gibson offers few opportunities for parent involvement after school. Back to School Night, Open House, and Band Concerts are the

## Expected Outcome

Once the baseline is established, we will set specific goals around attendance. Multiple means of communication to convey meeting dates and content will be investigated. A parent survey of which mode of communication is most effective will be implemented
Once a baseline is established, school staff will investigate multiple means of communication to convey the survey window time-frame. Administration will actively monitor survey completion.
Increase the percentage of Gibson families accessing the Aeries portal to $25 \%$.

Gibson will increase the amount of opportunities for parents to attend sessions focused on academics and behavior.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students
Students who are English Learners
Students with Disabilities

Strategy/Activity
Increase and improve stakeholder involvement by engaging with families in meaningful ways.
Bilingual interpreter
Parent involvement opportunities
Home/school communication
Community-building events and celebrations

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
1,066
7500
4000
472.95

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement
Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Site Discretionary

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds
List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If
the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$45,988.00
\$1,066.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: $\$ 47,054.00$
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

## Site Discretionary

Supplemental/Concentration

## Allocation (\$)

\$9,672.95
\$83,046.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$92,718.95
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$139,772.95

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nicole Kent | Principal |
| Kathy Harrison | Classroom Teacher |
| Aimee Daniels | Classroom Teacher |
| Rachel White | Classroom Teacher |
| Belen Magallo | Other School Staff |
| Michael Juarez | Parent or Community Member |
| Sean Fuller | Parent or Community Member |
| Brian Ash | Parent or Community Member |
| Ana Ramirez | Parent or Community Member or Community Member |
| Federico Ara |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.


School Site Council Membership

Recommendations and Assurances
The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following: The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
 approval.
The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan: Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

$\square$ State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee

$\square$ Special Education Advisory Committee

clear
Marian Pantega

$\square$ Other:
 in the local educational agency plan.
 performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 5/6/19
Attested:


Principal, Nicole Kent
on
Nicole Kent

$$
5-6-2019
$$

SSC Chairperson,
on
Kathytarrison

$$
5-6-2019
$$

